PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

4 2000 BU Under the F	Paperwork Reduction Act of	f 1995, no person	U.S. Patent and Tra s are required to respond to a collection of	ademark Office: I	through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 J.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE s it displays a valid OMB control number.	
TRANSMITTAL FORM  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number	10/720,62	27	
			Filing Date	11/24/200	11/24/2003	
			First Named Inventor	Frank Ros	Frank Rosemann et al.	
			Art Unit	3632	3632	
			Examiner Name	Wood, Kir	mberly T.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	0275M-00	00814	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)		Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85 - in		
Information Disclosure Statement				duplicate); and postcard.		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remai	rks			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Individual name  Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.		Attorney Name Christopher M. Brock		eg. No. /313		
Signature	Signature Wunderfun Nulonelle					
Date	April 14, 2006					
		ERTIFICAT	TE OF TRANSMISSION/MA	ILING		
	nt postage as first	class mail in	simile transmitted to the USPTO n an envelope addressed to: O			
Typed or printed name Christopher N				Express Mail Label No.	EV 853 856 065 US (4/14/2006)	
	1700		- 1/4 /-			

Signature

Date

April 14, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

## **PATENT**



application No.:

10/720,627

**Notice of Allowance Dated** 

01/18/2006

Filing Date:

11/24/2003

Applicants:

Frank Rosemann et al.

**Group Art Unit:** 

3632

Examiner:

Wood, Kimberly T.

Title:

Fastening Device For An Elongated Object In Particular

A Cable Tree

Attorney Docket:

0275M-000814

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Sir:

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 18, 23, 24, 26-29 and 35-50 in the present application. Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that

patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 14, 2006

By: Wingopler Us Brack
Christopher M. Brock
Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600